The Triglyceride-Glucose Index is Independently Associated with Chronic Kidney Disease in the Geriatric Population, Regardless of Obesity and Sex
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a common condition in older adults. CKD increases the mortality rate and risk of conditions including myocardial infarction, hypertension, and type 2 diabetes in the geriatric population. From a pathophysiologic perspective, these health concerns share a common pathway mediated by insulin resistance (IR). In 2017, CKD reportedly led to 1.2 million deaths globally. Owing to the aging of the global population, the prevalence and related mortality rate of CKD are expected to rise, with estimated CKD-related deaths increasing to 2.2 million or 4.0 million by 2040 in the best-case or worst-case scenarios, respectively.

Therefore, developing effective strategies for CKD screening, detection, and management is essential to prevent or suppress the development of severe CKD, particularly in the geriatric population.

The association between obesity and CKD has been globally recognized for decades, and studies have evaluated the risk of CKD by broadly using body mass index (BMI) as the obesity index. However, Kim et al. reported that high fat and low muscle mass are more closely related to CKD than BMI-based obesity evaluation. Additionally, the limitation of BMI is apparent in the early screening and detection of high-risk older adults with CKD. The reason for these findings is that BMI does not precisely re-
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reflect overall adiposity and does not distinguish visceral fat, which induces the onset of IR.\textsuperscript{10,11} IR, rather than BMI-based obesity evaluation, is strongly associated with CKD because IR induces CKD risk factors, including glomerular hyperfiltration, sodium retention, defective tubular reabsorption, tissue inflammation, and fibrosis.\textsuperscript{12-14} Therefore, IR is more likely related to CKD than obesity.

The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) has been widely used to examine insulin sensitivity for many years.\textsuperscript{15} The triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index was strongly related to hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp data collected in Brazil, Mexico, and South Korea.\textsuperscript{16-19} Additionally, the TyG index is better than the HOMA-IR index for identifying various IR-related health concerns such as arterial stiffness, hypertension, and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.\textsuperscript{19-21} Therefore, the TyG index is a reliable and valid indicator of IR that is superior to the HOMA-IR.

We hypothesized that IR is associated with CKD independent of obesity and sex and that an increased TyG index can be used for the early screening and detection of high-risk geriatric populations with CKD. Based on this hypothesis, we conducted a population-based cross-sectional study to examine the association of the TyG index with CKD in the geriatric population, regardless of obesity and sex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Subjects
We analyzed data from a database of South Koreans’ general health, nutritional status, and lifestyle data from the Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2014–2018. The analysis included 7,326 participants (2,864 men and 4,462 women) among all participants aged ≥ 60 years from the 2014–2018 KNHANES. Fig. 1 shows a flowchart of participant recruitment. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Silla University (No. 1041449-202203-HR-001).

This study complied the ethical guidelines for authorship and publishing in the Annals of Geriatric Medicine and Research.\textsuperscript{22}

TyG Index and eGFR
Blood samples were collected in the morning after a fast of at least 8 hours. Circulating glucose and triglyceride concentrations were measured by enzymatic methods using a Hitachi automatic analyzer 7600 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). The TyG index was calculated as follows.\textsuperscript{17}

\[
\ln \left( \text{triglyceride concentration (mg/dL)} \times \text{fasting plasma glucose concentration (mg/dL)} \right)/2.
\]

Creatinine concentrations were analyzed using the Jaffe rate-blanket creatinine assay and compensated at a certified laboratory (Seegene Medical Foundation, Seoul, Korea). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the new Japanese-coefficient modified MDRD (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease) study equation as follows.\textsuperscript{6,23-25}

\[
eGFR \left( \text{mL/min/1.73 m}^2 \right) = 194 \times \left( \text{serum creatinine} \right)^{-1.094} \times \left( \text{age} \right)^{-0.287} \times 0.739 \quad \text{(for females)}.
\]

All participants were assigned into groups according to TyG tertiles. Moderate-to-severe CKD (mod CKD) was defined as an eGFR < 45.0 mL/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}.\textsuperscript{23-25}

Statistical Analysis
All data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U tests were used to compare male and female variables. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the anthropometric and biochemical characteristics of the three TyG index groups. The Bonferroni post-hoc test was applied when ANOVA showed significant differences (p < 0.05). The Mann–Whitney U test was used to analyze differences between groups with non-normal data distributions (p < 0.05). The Jonckheere–Terpstra test was used to compare the values between the three groups (two-tailed, p < 0.05). The Jonckheere–Terpstra test generates standardized statistics (SS) that point to the strength of tendencies in variables that increase or decline across groups.\textsuperscript{26-28} We applied logistic regression to evaluate the obesity- and sex-specific associations between the TyG index.
and $M_{CKD}$. The fully adjusted model was adjusted for potential confounders such as education level, household income, smoking, drinking, handgrip strength, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, total energy intake, and BMI, which are recognized or suspected factors associated with CKD. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform the statistical analyses. The optimal cutoff values for the TyG index in male and female participants with or without obesity to predict $M_{CKD}$ were derived from receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis (area under the ROC curve [AUC] values). The sensitivity and specificity were also calculated. We used MedCalc for Windows version 9.1.0.1 (MedCalc, Ostend, Belgium).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 presents the participants’ characteristics. The mean age of the participants was 66.1 ± 10.5 years and was significantly higher in male participants than in females ($p < 0.001$). The mean TyG index and eGFR were 8.6 ± 0.6 and 62.8 ± 13.4 mL/min/1.73m$^2$, respectively. The eGFR in male participants was significantly lower than that in females ($p < 0.001$). The TyG index did not differ significantly between the sexes. Supplementary Table S1 provides more information on the subjects.

Table 2 displays the obesity- and sex-specific differences and tendencies based on the eGFR tertiles in male subjects. In subjects with and without obesity, the tendency test indicated a significant decrease in eGFR from the lowest to highest TyG tertiles (SS -5.61 and -3.59, respectively, relative to the lowest tertile of TyG index). Post hoc testing revealed that the mean eGFR in the lowest tertile was significantly higher than that in the middle and highest tertile. Similar to the male participants, the eGFR values in female participants with and without obesity are shown in Table 3. Supplementary Tables S2 and S3 provide the results of the analyses of additional variables and several covariates for male and female participants, respectively.

Table 4 compares the obesity- and sex-specific odds ratios for an association between the TyG index and $M_{CKD}$. Male and female participants with and without obesity were divided into tertiles based on TyG index values. For male participants without obesity, in the unadjusted model, the middle and highest tertiles displayed odds ratios of 2.392 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.522–3.760) and 2.439 (95% CI, 1.552–3.835), respectively, compared to the lowest tertile in $M_{CKD}$. In the fully adjusted model, the middle and highest tertiles showed odds ratios of 2.342 (95% CI, 1.464–3.747) and 2.393 (95% CI, 1.498–3.823), respectively, relative to the lowest tertile in $M_{CKD}$. Regarding female participants without obesity, in the unadjusted model, the highest tertile displayed an odds ratio of 2.123, relative to the lowest tertile (95% CI, 1.411–3.194) in $M_{CKD}$. In the fully adjusted model, the highest tertile showed an odds ratio of 2.374 relative to the lowest tertile (95% CI, 1.539–3.662) of $M_{CKD}$. Among male subjects with obesity, in the unadjusted model, the highest tertile displayed an odds ratio of 0.668 (95% CI, 0.48–1.01). In the fully adjusted model, the highest tertile had an odds ratio of 0.686 (95% CI, 0.465–1.01) in $M_{CKD}$.

### Table 1. Characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (n = 7,326)</th>
<th>Male (n = 2,864)</th>
<th>Female (n = 4,462)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>66.1 ± 10.5</td>
<td>69.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>64.0 ± 12.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TyG index</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mg/dL)</td>
<td>62.8 ± 13.4</td>
<td>60.6 ± 12.6</td>
<td>62.4 ± 13.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>158.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td>166.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>154.3 ± 6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>61.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>67.4 ± 8.5</td>
<td>57.8 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>24.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>24.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>84.7 ± 8.7</td>
<td>88.1 ± 7.6</td>
<td>82.6 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>100.3 ± 20.2</td>
<td>100.3 ± 19.9</td>
<td>100.4 ± 20.3</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</td>
<td>124.5 ± 66.8</td>
<td>125.2 ± 65.0</td>
<td>124.0 ± 67.9</td>
<td>0.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-obese subjects</td>
<td>4,670 (63.7)</td>
<td>1,766 (61.7)</td>
<td>2,904 (65.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese subjects</td>
<td>2,656 (36.2)</td>
<td>1,098 (38.3)</td>
<td>1,558 (34.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%).

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; TyG index, triglyceride-glucose index.

$^*$ The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to assess differences between groups.
Table 2. Anthropometric data, the TyG index, and eGFR-related biochemical characteristics and trends according to TyG index tertiles in male subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male non-obese subjects</th>
<th>TyG index</th>
<th>eGFR (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
<th>FPG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 604)</td>
<td>8.00±0.24</td>
<td>64.0±12.4</td>
<td>22.9±1.3</td>
<td>69.8±6.2</td>
<td>166.0±5.8</td>
<td>63.1±5.7</td>
<td>85.2±5.6</td>
<td>92.0±9.9</td>
<td>67.0±15.1</td>
<td>0.82±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 590)</td>
<td>8.59±0.15</td>
<td>60.6±12.6</td>
<td>22.8±1.3</td>
<td>69.8±6.3</td>
<td>165.9±5.8</td>
<td>62.8±5.9</td>
<td>84.2±5.6</td>
<td>93.0±9.9</td>
<td>70.0±20.9</td>
<td>0.86±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 593)</td>
<td>9.22±0.27</td>
<td>60.0±12.2</td>
<td>22.8±1.4</td>
<td>70.0±6.3</td>
<td>165.9±5.7</td>
<td>62.9±5.9</td>
<td>83.4±5.8</td>
<td>92.8±9.9</td>
<td>198.4±24.5</td>
<td>0.88±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &lt; B &lt; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-hoc</td>
<td>44.82</td>
<td>-5.61</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>42.49</td>
<td>0.80±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male obese subjects</th>
<th>TyG index</th>
<th>eGFR (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
<th>FPG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 359)</td>
<td>8.01±0.25</td>
<td>64.1±13.1</td>
<td>26.9±1.7</td>
<td>68.0±5.6</td>
<td>166.3±5.9</td>
<td>74.5±7.2</td>
<td>94.0±6.2</td>
<td>92.8±10.6</td>
<td>67.5±15.4</td>
<td>0.83±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 362)</td>
<td>8.61±0.15</td>
<td>58.4±12.1</td>
<td>27.0±1.9</td>
<td>69.0±5.9</td>
<td>166.6±5.7</td>
<td>75.0±7.1</td>
<td>94.5±6.4</td>
<td>99.2±13.7</td>
<td>113.3±21.0</td>
<td>0.88±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 356)</td>
<td>9.23±0.25</td>
<td>57.7±12.4</td>
<td>27.0±1.7</td>
<td>69.2±6.0</td>
<td>166.0±5.8</td>
<td>74.5±7.2</td>
<td>94.4±6.0</td>
<td>110.9±30.0</td>
<td>195.2±54.8</td>
<td>0.90±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &lt; B &lt; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-hoc</td>
<td>34.79</td>
<td>-3.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.
A group is lowest tertile; B, middle tertile; and C, highest tertile.
TyG index, triglyceride-glucose index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; ss, standardized statistics; ns, not significant.

Table 3. Anthropometric data, the TyG index, and eGFR-related biochemical characteristics and trends according to TyG index tertiles in female subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female non-obese subjects</th>
<th>TyG index</th>
<th>eGFR (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
<th>FPG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 973)</td>
<td>7.95±0.25</td>
<td>68.2±13.9</td>
<td>22.6±1.4</td>
<td>60.4±13.5</td>
<td>155.3±6.5</td>
<td>54.6±5.2</td>
<td>78.2±6.0</td>
<td>91.8±8.9</td>
<td>63.9±15.0</td>
<td>0.80±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 988)</td>
<td>8.57±0.15</td>
<td>65.6±12.9</td>
<td>22.6±1.3</td>
<td>61.4±12.9</td>
<td>155.4±6.5</td>
<td>54.6±5.3</td>
<td>78.1±6.0</td>
<td>98.5±14.2</td>
<td>110.2±19.4</td>
<td>0.83±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 973)</td>
<td>9.22±0.28</td>
<td>64.0±13.5</td>
<td>22.6±1.4</td>
<td>61.5±13.6</td>
<td>155.0±6.3</td>
<td>54.4±5.4</td>
<td>78.1±6.0</td>
<td>109.4±26.1</td>
<td>197.5±64.7</td>
<td>0.88±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &lt; B &lt; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-hoc</td>
<td>57.44</td>
<td>-6.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>54.58</td>
<td>10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female obese subjects</th>
<th>TyG index</th>
<th>eGFR (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Body mass (kg)</th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
<th>FPG (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 505)</td>
<td>7.97±0.25</td>
<td>63.6±13.4</td>
<td>27.3±2.3</td>
<td>69.4±6.3</td>
<td>152.7±5.4</td>
<td>64.2±6.7</td>
<td>90.7±6.8</td>
<td>91.8±10.1</td>
<td>64.9±14.9</td>
<td>0.82±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 510)</td>
<td>8.60±0.15</td>
<td>60.4±13.3</td>
<td>27.6±2.3</td>
<td>69.4±6.4</td>
<td>152.5±5.7</td>
<td>64.1±7.0</td>
<td>90.5±6.9</td>
<td>99.9±15.2</td>
<td>109.4±19.4</td>
<td>0.84±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 513)</td>
<td>9.24±0.28</td>
<td>59.1±13.3</td>
<td>27.7±2.3</td>
<td>70.3±6.2</td>
<td>152.1±5.1</td>
<td>64.1±7.0</td>
<td>91.1±7.0</td>
<td>111.5±30.8</td>
<td>198.4±61.0</td>
<td>0.88±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &lt; B &lt; C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-hoc</td>
<td>41.45</td>
<td>-4.54</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.
A group is lowest tertile; B, middle tertile; and C, highest tertile.
TyG index, triglyceride-glucose index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; ss, standardized statistics; ns, not significant.

The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to assess differences between the three groups.

The Jonckheere–Terpstra test was used to assess the trend among the three groups.
justed model, the middle and highest tertiles showed odds ratios of 2.313 (95% CI, 1.397–3.828) and 3.516 (95% CI, 2.164–5.713), respectively, relative to the lowest tertile in MS CKD.

### DISCUSSION

This study investigated whether the TyG index, which reflects IR, is associated with CKD in a geriatric population, independent of obesity and sex. The results showed that the TyG index was associated with CKD in the geriatric population, regardless of obesity. Additionally, the relationship between the TyG index and CKD was significant in both male and female participants. These findings suggested that IR is associated with CKD in the geriatric population independent of obesity and sex.

The long-standing consensus is that aging and obesity trigger a decline in kidney function. The effect of aging on CKD is undeniable due to the high global prevalence of CKD in the geriatric population. However, the association between obesity and CKD is increasing, mainly owing to the limitations of BMI. BMI is not an accurate indicator of overall adiposity and visceral fat, which induces the onset of IR. Recent studies published in 2019, 2020, and 2021 reported that IR was strongly related to a decline in kidney function rather than obesity per se. Our findings on IR also support these recent reports. In the present study, both male and female participants (Tables 2, 3) showed a stronger decreasing trend in eGFR in subjects without obesity than in those with obesity as the TyG index increased. If obesity causes a decline in kidney function, the decreasing trend in eGFR should be more pronounced in subjects with obesity than in those without. This suggests that the effect of IR on CKD is more significant than that on obesity.

We also found that male participants without obesity in the middle and highest tertiles of the TyG index were 2.342 and 2.393 times more likely to have MS CKD. Similarly, female participants without obesity in the highest tertile were 2.374 times more likely to develop MS CKD. Additionally, female subjects with obesity in the middle and highest tertiles of the TyG index were 2.313 and 3.516 times more likely to have MS CKD, respectively (Table 4). These findings suggest that increased IR is an independent risk factor for CKD in both men and women, regardless of obesity status. Therefore, IR is a primary pathophysiology that may be independently associated with CKD in geriatric populations regardless of obesity.

Several studies have reported a relationship between IR and CKD. Animal and human studies have reported that hyperinsulinemia leads to kidney vasodilatation, enhances sodium reabsorption, stimulates the renin-angiotensin system, and causes glomerular hyperfiltration, which increases the GFR. Increased filtration per nephron causes nephron loss and leads to glomerular hypertension, which causes glomerular sclerosis and a subsequent

| Table 4. Obesity- and sex-specific odds ratios for the relationship between the triglyceride-glucose index and moderate-to-severe chronic kidney disease |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | Unadjusted model                | Fully adjusted model            |
| Male non-obese subjects        |                                 |                                 |
| A (n = 593)                    | 2.439 (1.552–3.835)**           | 2.393 (1.498–3.823)**           |
| B (n = 590)                    | 2.392 (1.522–3.760)**           | 2.342 (1.464–3.747)**           |
| C (n = 604)                    | Reference                       | Reference                       |
| Male obese subjects            |                                 |                                 |
| A (n = 356)                    | 1.620 (1.016–2.584)*            | 1.736 (1.053–2.863)*            |
| B (n = 362)                    | 1.355 (0.841–2.185)             | 1.485 (0.895–2.463)             |
| C (n = 359)                    | Reference                       | Reference                       |
| Female non-obese subjects      |                                 |                                 |
| A (n = 973)                    | 2.123 (1.411–3.194)**           | 2.374 (1.539–3.662)**           |
| B (n = 988)                    | 1.388 (0.900–2.141)             | 1.499 (0.952–2.360)             |
| C (n = 973)                    | Reference                       | Reference                       |
| Female obese subjects          |                                 |                                 |
| A (n = 513)                    | 3.141 (1.975–4.974)**           | 3.516 (2.164–5.713)**           |
| B (n = 510)                    | 2.216 (1.361–3.606)**           | 2.313 (1.397–3.828)**           |
| C (n = 505)                    | Reference                       | Reference                       |

Values are presented as odds ratio (95% confidence interval).
A group is highest tertile; B, middle tertile; and C, lowest tertile. The fully adjusted model was adjusted for education level, house income, medication, smoking, drinking, hand-grip strength, moderate to vigorous physical activity, total energy intake and body mass index.
*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
Supplementary monitoring to suppress the progression of health concerns. In
with health issues and a TyG index value of ≥ 8.6 require careful 
er, these reports suggest that late middle-aged and older adults 
for all health concerns in the Korean population difficult. Howev
make comparing and determining a precise TyG index cutoff value 
sex-specific populations, and differences in basic health status 
ary artery disease in men and women aged ≥ 65 years without 
showed that a TyG index value of ≥ 8.44 was a cutoff value of cor 
index value of ≥ 8.83 as a cutoff value for obstructive sleep apnea in 
hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Kang et al. 
suggested by Endukuru et al. was derived from only 150 adults, 
and the AUC was relatively low compared with that suggested by 
In the present study, the potential cutoff value of the TyG 
index to distinguish individuals with CKD was > 8.62 (AUC 0.584; sensitivity 59.34%; specificity 53.26%) (Supplementary Fig. S1). Considering the differences in population age, race, and number, the potential cutoff value in the present study cannot be 
directly compared with those obtained in the two previous studies. Additionally, the relatively low AUC, sensitivity, and specificity of the potential TyG index cutoff value in the present study suggest 
the need for re-examination using another sample of the geriatric 
population. However, as a geriatric population-specific cutoff value to 
distinguish CKD in high-risk individuals in the early stages, the 
potential TyG index cutoff value identified in the present study 
may be appropriate for clinical practice.

Previous studies in the Korean population have provided TyG 
index cutoff values to discriminate high-risk individuals with sever-
al health concerns. Kim et al. found that TyG index values of 
≥ 8.72 and 8.67, respectively, were risk factors for sarcopenic obe-
sity in men and women aged ≥ 60 years with health issues such as 
hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Kang et al. reported a TyG in-
dex value of ≥ 8.83 as a cutoff value for obstructive sleep apnea in 
men and women with health issues aged ≥ 55 years. Park et al. 
showed that a TyG index value of ≥ 8.44 was a cutoff value of cor-
ony artery disease in men and women aged ≥ 65 years without 
health issues. Differences in age distribution, inconsistency in 
sex-specific populations, and differences in basic health status 
make comparing and determining a precise TyG index cutoff value 
for all health concerns in the Korean population difficult. Howev-
er, these reports suggest that late middle-aged and older adults 
with health issues and a TyG index value of ≥ 8.6 require careful 
monitoring to suppress the progression of health concerns. In 
healthy geriatric populations, a TyG index value of ≥ 8.44 may be 
applied as a cutoff for early-stage prevention of health concerns.

The present study had several strengths and limitations. This 
study’s strength was the adjustment for potential covariates, such 
as demographic parameters and lifestyle factors that might affect 
the relationship between the TyG index and CKD. However, the 
study subjects were older Korean adults; thus, whether the find-
ings of the present study can be applied to other ethnicities or na-
tions is unclear. Further investigations in different races are needed 
to confirm the association between the TyG index and CKD.

In conclusion, the geriatric population with an increased TyG 
index has a high risk of CKD regardless of obesity and sex. This 
finding suggests that increased IR is associated with CKD in the 
geriatric population independent of obesity and sex.
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